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Abstract

This study aims to explore Turkey’s new foreign policy activism and focus on its economic and political underpinnings. A new foreign policy vision has been promoted under the AKP administration and has born the stamp of Ahmet Davutoğlu. In his view, Turkey is among these countries that possess “strategic depth” and thus has an inherent potential of a multidimensional foreign policy. By nature of its geography, history and culture, Turkey promotes a “zero-problems policy with neighbors” and is destined to play a constructive role towards conflict resolution and international peace and security on a regional and a global level.

It is argued in this study that Turkey’s ambition to play a key regional role and become a global actor is not novel. There have been at least two similar initiatives in recent history which defended Turkey’s extraordinary strategic potential and aspired to put Turkey into the heart of global politics. What has, however, been indeed novel and has lent credibility to the whole experiment is Turkey’s economic dynamism. Following the 1999-2001 economic crisis, Turkey has enjoyed a long period of high growth. While state finances enjoy a period of relative stability, the Turkish private sector has succeeded in improving its competitive edge and expanding its operations far beyond the Turkish borders. Being the seventeenth biggest economy in the world, Turkey is translating its newly acquired economic clout into political influence. While such a trend is indeed legitimate, Turkey’s claims for a global strategic role and of a value-based foreign policy may be already overblown. The evolution of its bilateral relationships with two key Middle Eastern actors, Iran and Israel corroborates Turkey’s rising self-confidence, as well as the incidence of significant inconsistencies. The deterioration of Turkey’s relations with Israel is not in harmony with the “zero-problems policy with neighbors” adage. Its attempt to take equal distances from the West and Iran regarding its nuclear question has failed to help reach an agreement while it has questioned Turkey’s strategic commitment to the West. While claiming a moral basis for its foreign policy, Turkey may be already falling to the same inconsistencies it has accused Western powers of. This has already become clear with respect to Turkey’s stance vis-à-vis the recent Middle East uprisings. A reconfiguration of its strategic relationship with the West and the derailment of its EU membership process could question the consolidation of the steps already achieved and aggravate Turkey’s domestic divisions. Turkey is bound to play a key
regional role and may indeed become a global actor in the years to come; its capabilities, however, are not infinite, and significant risks may lurk in their overestimation.
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